Pearson's Dyslexia Toolkit: Related Topics

A series of resources that connect to your work in dyslexia.

FALL 2022

Dysgraphia... and How It Relates to Dyslexia

Abstract

Dyslexia and dysgraphia are related learning disabilities. Professionals benefit from a clear understanding of each disability and their relationship to support differential diagnosis and intervention/instruction planning. In this report, the authors present multiple perspectives on the definition and scope of dysgraphia, including common questions related to dysgraphia. In addition, tools and resources that can be used to support individuals with dysgraphia are offered within the context of a dysgraphia workflow including screening, diagnostic assessment, intervention, and progress monitoring.

Kristina Breaux, PhD, Principal Research Director, Clinical Assessment

Tina Eichstadt, MS, CCC-SLP, Senior Product Manager, Clinical Assessment



Contents

Understanding Dysgraphia.	. 4
Differential Diagnosis	. 5
Historical and Alternative Perspectives.	. 6
Pearson Dysgraphia Toolkit	. 6
Screening Tools	. / . 8
Intervention Tools	.10
	. 1 1
What causes dysgraphia?	. 12
Is the term dysgraphia synonymous with a specific learning disability in written expression?	.12
Is poor handwriting synonymous with dysgraphia?	.13 .13
References	. 14

Cite this document as:

Breaux, K., & Eichstadt, T. (2022). *Dysgraphia... and how it relates to dyslexia* [Technical Report]. NCS Pearson.



For inquiries or reordering: 800.627.7271 www.PearsonAssessments.com Copyright © 2022 NCS Pearson, Inc. or Copyright Owner. All rights reserved.

Warning: No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without the express written permission of the copyright owner.

Pearson is a trademark, in the U.S. and/or other countries, of Pearson PLC or its affiliates.

NCS Pearson, Inc. 5601 Green Valley Drive Bloomington, MN 55437

Produced in the United States of America.

v.A

Product Number 66687

Dysgraphia... and How It Relates to Dyslexia

Pearson Clinical Assessment offers a Dysgraphia Toolkit with resources for screening, identification, intervention, and progress monitoring. This report will be updated periodically as new tools become available.



The term dysgraphia comes from the Greek "dys" meaning "impaired" and "graphia" meaning "making letter forms by hand". Dysgraphia and dyslexia are among the most prevalent specific learning disabilities in school-age populations.

Pearson's Dysgraphia Toolkit includes clinical and classroom resources for screening, diagnostic evaluations, intervention, and progress monitoring. Included are tools that can be used across a wide range of professional groups and user qualification levels.

Pearson's Dysgraphia Toolkit

SCREEN	ASSESS	INTERVENE	MONITOR
Detailed Assessment of Speed of Handwriting (DASH)/DASH 17+ Copy Best and Copy Fast Movement Assessment Battery for Children– Second Edition (Movement ABC-2) Checklist Wechsler Individual Achievement Test® (4th ed.; WIAT-4) Alphabet Writing Fluency (2 K	DASH/DASH 17+	Process Assessment of the Learner (PAL) intervention materials	aimswebPlus™ Spelling
	Process Assessment of the Learner™ (2nd ed.; PAL™–II): Diagnostics for Reading and Writing		aimsweb Written Expression
		SPELL-Links™ to Reading & Writing™	Growth Scale Values (GSVs) and Progress Monitoring Assistant™
	Beery-Buktenica Developmental Test of	SPELL-Links Class Links for Classrooms™	for WIAT-4 and KTEA–3
	Visual-Motor Integration	SPELL-Links Wordtivities™	
	Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (2nd ed.; BOT-2)	Intervention Guide for LD (Learning Disability) Subtypes	
		KTEA–3 and WIAT-4 teaching objectives and intervention goal statements	
	Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement™ (3rd ed.; KTEA™_3) Comprehensive Form		
		WriteToLearn	
	Movement ABC-2		
	NEPSY (2nd ed.; NEPSY–II)		
	WIAT-4		

Each resource in this toolkit shows strong empirical evidence on its own. The power of a toolkit comes from understanding the need for multiple tools and how they fit together to guide clear decision-making, giving the collective effort additional power. Clear data, a sufficient knowledge base, and team-based decision-making allow the best path forward.

Understanding Dysgraphia

Dysgraphia refers to "an impairment in handwriting ability that is characterized chiefly by very poor or often illegible writing or writing that takes an unusually long time and great effort to complete."³⁰ This difficulty with letter formation is the result of deficits in graphomotor function (hand movements used for writing) and/or storing and retrieving orthographic codes (letter forms).⁸ Secondary consequences may include problems with spelling and written expression.⁸ Dysgraphia is not due to lack of instruction or lack of motivation or effort.

DASH-2 Free Writing sample by a 9-year-old boy diagnosed with dysgraphia and dyslexia with strong verbal comprehension and vocabulary abilities:

pact pherent and	FULMHUTE
FOOD I LOVE FOO	mafarrit food is dizza
Flove how in	E. like paritr. I 1000 the 100
1+ toris ball minis.	Hove Liks. Tlicke + aloo
forthe licko to ht	mishing

[Past present and future. Food. I love food. My favorite food is pizza. I love Hawaiian. I like carrots. I love chocolate. It tastes delicious. I love bikes. I like to go fast. I like to hurt my shins.]

Signs of difficulty typically emerge when handwriting and spelling are first explicitly taught in kindergarten or first grade.⁸ Due to its interference with writing, a fundamental component of literacy development, dysgraphia can have detrimental effects in school, daily life, and in the workplace.²⁹

Dyslexia and dysgraphia are distinct language-based disorders that can present concurrently or separately. Difficulty with spelling, which can also interfere with written composition, is a shared symptom of both dyslexia and dysgraphia. Key signs of dyslexia and dysgraphia are summarized in Table 1.

Dysgraphia can also co-occur with other conditions such as dyscalculia, developmental language disorder (DLD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and autism spectrum disorders.¹⁸ Based on research showing a strong connection between ADHD and handwriting difficulties, practitioners are advised to screen or assess for co-occurring dysgraphia with students who have a diagnosis of ADHD or are being evaluated for attention and executive function problems.⁹

Table 1. Signs of Dyslexia and Dysgraphia

Signs of dyslexia ^a	Signs of dysgraphia ^b
 Difficulty acquiring letter-sound knowledge and naming/writing letters Difficulty with word reading, decoding, and oral reading fluency Better comprehension while listening than reading Difficulty with spelling and written expression Poor response to literacy instruction Avoidance of reading and writing tasks 	 Poor and/or inefficient (slow and labored) handwriting Variably shaped and poorly formed letters Poor spacing between letters and words Difficulty copying words and sentences Excessive erasures and cross-outs Inadequate, heavy, or variable pressure in handwriting Awkward or inconsistent pencil grip Hand fatigue Difficulty with spelling and written expression (secondary impairment) Avoidance of writing tasks Low productivity in writing

^a Refer to the Dyslexia Toolkit white paper on pearsonassessments.com for more information about dyslexia assessment. ^b Signs of dysgraphia are described in practitioner handbooks.^{18, 36}

Differential Diagnosis

According to an evidence-based framework for differential diagnosis of specific learning disabilities (SLDs), dysgraphia is an impairment at the subword level of language by hand (writing letters and word parts), dyslexia is a word-level language impairment (affecting word reading and spelling), and oral and written language learning disability (OWL-LD), which is also commonly referred to as developmental language disorder (DLD)¹¹, primarily involves impairments with syntax, morphology, and text-level discourse.^{8, 35} A summary of this framework is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Specific Learning Disability Impairments

Specific learning disability	Level of language impairment	Primary impairment(s)
Dysgraphia	Subword level	Handwriting
Duslavia	Word level	Word reading
Dyslexia	word level	Spelling
		Morphology and syntax
Developmental language disorder	Phrase/sentence level	Comprehension (Listening and Reading)
		Written expression

Note. Although individuals with dyslexia have primarily word-level impairments, receptive vocabulary tends to be a relative strength; in addition, individuals with DLD tend to have weaknesses in vocabulary/word knowledge in addition to phrase/sentence-level impairments.¹

Dysgraphia is an impairment that is primarily symbolic in nature, which differentiates it from conditions that affect motor or spatial impairments unrelated to language such as developmental coordination disorder and dyspraxia.

Historical and Alternative Perspectives

Consistent with the International Dyslexia Association²¹ and the framework provided by Berninger,⁷ we are using the term dysgraphia for this paper and our toolkit of resources to refer to handwriting difficulties at the subword level of language. However, given the lack of professional consensus on the definition and diagnostic criteria for dysgraphia, this section explains how alternative definitions of dysgraphia fit within the framework we are using.

Dysgraphia was originally understood as a visual-motor apraxia, a disorder resulting from a disturbance in visual-motor integration for written language – but without defects in either the visual or motor systems.²² Since then, the term has been used to refer to any number of writing difficulties. Three types of dysgraphia were described by Deuel¹⁷ and more recently by Chung et al.¹⁶:

Language-based dysgraphia refers to a specific learning disability with an impairment in written expression, which may include deficits in spelling, grammar, and clarity or organization of written expression. Copying text is typically intact. Also referred to as disorder of written expression or specific learning disability in written expression.

Spatial dysgraphia involves problems with spatial perception, difficulty with spacing of letters, copying or composing text, and drawing ability.

Motor dysgraphia involves problems with the fine motor skills needed to produce letters or numbers; finger tapping is a primary impairment and indicators may include poor pencil grasp, poor posture, and slow and illegible handwriting.

Some practitioner guidelines simplify classification into motor-based dysgraphia, which includes motor or spatial difficulties at the subword level of language impairment, and language-based dysgraphia, which includes word-level and connected text levels of impairment.¹⁸

However, within the evidence-based framework for differential diagnosis of SLDs described by Berninger and colleagues, language-based dysgraphia is not consistent with a dysgraphia diagnosis because the impairments are not at the subword level of language.^{8, 35} Rather, impairment with syntax, morphology, and text-level discourse are referred to as oral and written language learning disability (OWL-LD) or developmental language disorder (DLD). In addition, motor dysgraphia and spatial dysgraphia are not differentiated as subtypes within this framework.

Pearson Dysgraphia Toolkit

The Pearson Dysgraphia Toolkit includes clinical and classroom resources for screening, diagnostic evaluations, intervention, and progress monitoring. To assist the varied groups of professionals who support individuals with dysgraphia, these tools can be used across professional groups and user qualification levels (B and C).

In addition to the products listed in the Dysgraphia Toolkit, other tools may be helpful to consider. A complete list of writing tools for screening, assessment, intervention, and progress monitoring from Pearson Clinical Assessment is available <u>here</u> (pearsonassessments.com, search Writing Tools for Written Expression, under Content).

Screening Tools

Screening measures do not diagnose a condition. Rather, individuals who show risk on a screener typically require further evaluation and/or early intervention.

The Pearson toolkit for dysgraphia screening includes the following measures:

- Copy Best and Copy Fast measures from the Detailed Assessment of Speed of Handwriting (DASH) or the DASH 17+
- Checklist from Movement Assessment Battery for Children–Second Edition (Movement ABC-2 Checklist)
- Alphabet Writing Fluency from Wechsler Individual Achievement Test (4th ed.; WIAT-4)

The **Detailed Assessment of Speed of Handwriting** (DASH) is a measure of handwriting that is used to identify handwriting difficulties, provide information relevant to planning intervention, and support accommodations.³ The DASH was normed in the United Kingdom for students ages 9–16, but research also supports its use among students in the United States.³² To evaluate handwriting difficulties that persist into adolescence and adulthood, the DASH 17+ is normed for individuals 17–25 years of age.⁴ *The DASH-2 is expected to publish in 2023.*

A growing body of research supports the use of the DASH Copy Best and Copy Fast tasks for dysgraphia screening and subsequently to contribute to a more in-depth diagnostic evaluation.

- Copy Best requires the examinee to copy a sentence, which includes all the letters of the alphabet, in their best handwriting repeatedly for exactly two minutes.
- Copy Fast requires the examinee to repeatedly copy the same sentence used in Copy Best, but to copy it as quickly as possible for exactly two minutes. The examinee can use either manuscript or cursive or a combination of these.

For more information about the research conducted using the DASH to identify dysgraphia, a Technical Report is available <u>here</u> (on the DASH product page at pearsonassessments.com). To summarize, five studies reported clinical group performance on the DASH Copy Best and/or Copy Fast measures for examinees in Grades 4–9. The control groups earned similar (i.e., not statistically different) mean scores for Copy Best and Copy Fast; the means were generally close to or above the mean. For Copy Best, the five dysgraphia groups earned similar mean scores in the Average to Low Average ranges. For Copy Fast, the four dysgraphia groups earned similar mean scores in the Low Average to Very Low ranges. The dysgraphia, dyslexia, and DLD clinical groups exhibited greater impairment on Copy Fast relative to Copy Best. The mean performance of the dysgraphia, dyslexia, and DLD groups on the DASH measures were not significantly different, which suggests that handwriting impairments were common in all three clinical groups. For individuals who score poorly on Copy Best and/or Copy Fast, a more indepth evaluation will be important for differentiating between clinical conditions.

The **Movement ABC-2 Checklist**, completed by parents/caregivers, teachers, and/or others who know the child well, provides a means for assessing movement in everyday situations and identifies, describes, and guides treatment of motor impairment in children ages 5–12.¹⁹ Research supports use of the Movement ABC-2 Checklist with parents as part of a screening process for dysgraphia (and other specific learning disabilities) to facilitate early intervention and foster literacy learning; in this study, the dysgraphia group differed from the control group on items within Scale A (Movement in a Static and/ or Predictable Environment) and Scale C (Nonmotor variables such as executive functions, cognitions related to self-efficacy, and affect), but not on items within Scale B (Movement in a Dynamic and/or Unpredictable Environment).³² Administering the full checklist is recommended.

The **Alphabet Writing Fluency** subtest from the WIAT-4 has been shown to measure handwriting fluency.²⁴ The WIAT-4 manual reports a moderate effect size (.70) for Alphabet Writing Fluency in differentiating students with Disorder of Written Expression from matched controls.¹³ This clinical sample was not specific to dysgraphia and included students with a range of writing difficulties; however, these data support the clinical utility of Alphabet Writing Fluency among students with a writing disorder that includes poor handwriting fluency. WIAT-4 Alphabet Writing Fluency was designed for individual or small-group administration among students in Grades PK–4.

A clinical study is underway to evaluate the clinical utility of the DASH-2 with a dysgraphia sample. It is expected that ongoing and future research will provide additional validity evidence to support use of the DASH/DASH-2/DASH 17+, Movement ABC-2 Checklist, and WIAT-4 Alphabet Writing Fluency in an overall process for dysgraphia screening.

Diagnostic Assessment Tools

The diagnostic process for specific learning disability (SLD) identification typically involves three steps:

Step 1: Rule out other potential causes of learning difficulties including pervasive or specific developmental disabilities, intellectual disability or borderline intellectual functioning, vision or hearing difficulties, socioemotional or cultural/linguistic factors, etc.

Step 2: Assess learning profiles for specific learning disabilities and common comorbid conditions

Step 3: Make a differential diagnosis

To support this process, the Pearson Dysgraphia Toolkit includes assessments of handwriting, written expression, and related processing abilities.

For the assessment of handwriting skills:

- DASH for ages 9:0–16:11; DASH 17+ for ages 17:0–25:11^{3, 4}
- Process Assessment of the Learner (2nd ed.; PAL–II) for Grades K–6⁶

For the assessment of additional writing skills and processing abilities:

- Beery-Buktenica Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration (6th ed.; Beery VMI-6)⁵
- Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (2nd ed.; BOT-2)¹⁵
- Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement (3rd ed.; KTEA-3)²³
- Movement ABC-2¹⁹
- NEPSY (2nd ed.; NEPSY–II)²⁵
- WIAT-4³¹

Table 3 lists key skill areas relevant to a dysgraphia evaluation, and the suggested corresponding measures.

To conduct a differential diagnosis, a comprehensive evaluation is recommended and may be required in some settings. For example, U.S. federal legislation (IDEA) allows for use of the term dysgraphia if it is supported by a comprehensive evaluation for a specific learning disability.³⁹ A single test is not sufficient to identify or diagnose dysgraphia, or even to identify every child with a handwriting difficulty.³³ A diagnosis of dysgraphia is based on a convergence of evidence gathered from multiple sources, including observation, review of completed work, and norm-referenced assessment data.

A comprehensive evaluation will include additional skills and abilities beyond the hallmark indicators of dysgraphia shown in Table 3.

	DASH;		
Writing skills	DASH 17+	PAL-II	Additional assessments
Letter writing from memory	Alphabet Writing	Alphabet Writing	 WIAT-4 Alphabet Writing Fluency
Copying text	Copy BestCopy Fast	 Copying A and B (Sentence and Paragraph Copying) 	
Spontaneous handwriting	Free Writing		
Written spelling			WIAT-4 SpellingKTEA-3 Spelling
Written expression		• Narrative Compositional Fluency	WIAT-4 Sentence Composition
			KTEA-3 Written Expression
Text writing fluency			WIAT-4 Essay Composition
			 WIAT-4 Sentence Writing Fluency
			KTEA-3 Writing Fluency
Processing abilities			
Orthographic coding		Receptive Coding Supressive Coding	WIAT-4 Orthographic Choice
		Word Choice	WIAT-4 Orthographic Fluency
Sequential finger movements		Finger Sense	NEPSY-II Fingertip Tapping
Visual-motor coordination	Graphic Speed		BOT-2 Fine Motor Precision
			BOT-2 Fine Motor Integration
			Beery VMI-6
			NEPSY-II Design Copying
Motor functioning			Movement ABC-2

Table 3. Recommended Dysgraphia Assessment Constructs and Related Measures

Intervention Tools

The Pearson Dysgraphia Toolkit includes the following intervention resources:

- Process Assessment of the Learner (PAL) Intervention Materials: Guides for Intervention—Revised, Research-Based Reading and Writing Lessons—Revised, Handwriting Lessons—Revised, and Talking Letters—Revised^{6, 10}
- SPELL-Links to Reading & Writing, SPELL-Links Class Links for Classrooms, and SPELL-Links Wordtivities
- Intervention Guide for Learning Disability (LD) Subtypes
- KTEA-3 and WIAT-4 teaching objectives and intervention goal statements
- WriteToLearn

The PAL Intervention Materials include a series of resources for reading and writing including handwriting. The PAL Intervention materials can be accessed via Mimeo: <u>https://marketplace.mimeo.</u> <u>com/pearsonPAL</u>

Guides for Intervention—Revised highlights conceptual foundations of reading, writing, and assessment-to-intervention links and the underlying research.

Research-Based Reading and Writing Lessons—Revised includes an instructional manual and a second volume of reproducible materials. Fifteen lesson sets include five sets for Tier 1/early intervention, five sets for Tier 2/curriculum modification, and five sets for Tier 3/tutorials for dyslexia and dysgraphia.

Handwriting Lessons—Revised encompasses two sets of 24 lessons, several of which are used in connection with the Reading and Writing Lessons. Each set presents all 26 letters of the English alphabet in two different writing styles.

Talking Letters—Revised focuses on spelling-sound and sound-spelling correspondences as well as the alphabetic principle. Student teaching materials for consonants and vowels organized by syllable type are included.

SPELL-Links to Reading & Writing, SPELL-Links Class Links for Classrooms, and SPELL-Links Wordtivities (see PearsonAssessments.com) use a speech-to-print word study approach that leverages the brain's innate, biological wiring and organization for oral language. Students first learn how to attend to the sound structure of spoken English words and then how to connect and combine sounds (phonology), letter patterns (orthography), and meanings (semantics, morphology) to read and spell words.

SPELL-Links to Reading & Writing is a word study curriculum for Grades K–12 that delivers all components of assessment and instruction identified by the U.S. Department of Education-funded Center on Instruction as crucial for developing reading and spelling skills in every student. This program is appropriate for Tier 1, 2, and 3 students as well as students receiving services for dyslexia/special education, speech/language impairment, English language learners, or Title I.²⁶

SPELL-Links Class Links for Classrooms, based on SPELL-Links to Reading & Writing, provides everything needed to deliver a year of high-quality Tier 1/Tier 2 classroom instruction for kindergarten and early Grade 1 to meet educational development standards for spelling, word decoding, reading fluency, vocabulary, reading comprehension, and writing. The curriculum includes quick and easy lesson plans for word study to improve reading and writing success and downloadable mini books that help students apply taught skills.²⁷

SPELL-Links Wordtivities features a variety of engaging activities and materials for use with whole class, small group, and 1:1 instruction for Grades K–12. Students will improve spelling; build depth and breadth of vocabulary; advance word decoding, reading fluency, and reading comprehension; and enhance writing performance. It can be used as a stand-alone word study program within an existing language-arts curriculum or in conjunction with SPELL-Links to Reading & Writing.²⁸

The **Intervention Guide for LD Subtypes**, accessible through Q-global, compares an examinee's skill level profile with the theoretical profiles of various types of reading difficulties, including those with comorbid dysgraphia. The report provides tailored, research-supported intervention suggestions. Examinees may benefit from the interventions provided in the report regardless of whether they have been identified or diagnosed with dyslexia or dysgraphia. Information about the examinee's cognitive processing, language, and achievement skills may be obtained from assessments in Q-global; however, other test results as well as qualitative data are also considered.¹²

The KTEA-3 and WIAT-4 score reports in Q-global and Q-interactive include customizable teaching objectives and intervention suggestions based on error analysis results.

WriteToLearn provides tools to build writing skills (including spelling, grammar, content and organization) via typing and tools to develop reading comprehension for students in Grades 4–12. With automated scoring and reporting and immediate feedback, the web-based tool keeps students engaged. Teachers can adjust scoring parameters and tailor assistance levels to each student's needs.

Progress Monitoring Tools

The Pearson Dysgraphia Toolkit includes the following progress monitoring tools:

- aimswebPlus Spelling and Written Expression
- Growth Scale Values (GSVs) and Progress Monitoring Assistant (PMA)

aimswebPlus offers two measures that may be used to assess students' writing skills for benchmark screening and tracking growth across the school year (3 benchmark periods: Fall, Winter, Spring). **aimswebPlus Spelling** provides forms for assessing spelling skills (i.e., writing spelling words from dictation) in Kindergarten through Grade 2. **aimsweb Written Expression** provides a standardized way to assess written expression fluency (i.e., writing a story for three minutes based on an age-appropriate prompt after one minute of planning) in Grades 1–12. Writing samples may be scored according to three separate metrics: Total Words Written (TWW), Words Spelled Correctly (WSC), or Correct Writing Sequence (CWS).

Growth scale values (GSVs) are preferred over standard scores and percentile ranks for measuring growth because GSVs reflect the examinee's absolute (rather than relative) level of performance. GSVs are useful for comparing an examinee's performance on a particular subtest or composite relative to their own past performance, whereas standard scores and percentile ranks are useful for comparing

performance relative to peers. For tests with two forms, GSVs obtained on one form are directly comparable to GSVs obtained on the other form. However, GSVs are not comparable across tests or subtests. A significant increase in GSV scores indicates that the examinee has demonstrated significant progress. Among the tests included in the Dysgraphia Toolkit, GSVs are provided for the WIAT-4 and KTEA–3. For example, the GSVs provided for the **WIAT-4 Alphabet Writing Fluency** subtest may be used to measure progress among students in Grades PK–4. A **Progress Monitoring Assistant** software application is provided for the WIAT-4 that analyzes changes in an examinee's GSVs and standard scores over time. An example of an interpretive statement that might be provided: *These results suggest that the student's alphabet writing fluency skills improved relative to personal performance but at a similar rate relative to peers.*¹⁴

Common Questions

What causes dysgraphia?

Dysgraphia is related to inefficiencies in the graphomotor loop of verbal working memory in one direction: mapping phonological information onto orthographic words and word parts produced by hand; the executive functions most likely to be impaired include planning, reviewing/updating, revising, and supervisory attention for motor output.⁷

Is the term dysgraphia synonymous with a specific learning disability in written expression?

No. Individuals can meet criteria for SLD in written expression for a variety of reasons with heterogeneous symptoms and levels of impairment. The classifications provided by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act,²⁰ the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed.; *DSM-5*),² and *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems* (11th ed.; ICD-11)³⁸ are helpful for determining eligibility for services and for guiding high-level decisions about placement or scope of intervention; however, the pseudo-categories established by these classification systems are flawed and lack classification rigor.³⁴ Although some classification systems permit use of the term dysgraphia when referring to SLD in written expression, practitioners are strongly encouraged to define how terms are being used when communicating with families, educators, and other professionals. At its broadest definition (or when referencing language-based dysgraphia), dysgraphia has been used to refer to difficulty with writing at any level, including handwriting, writing fluency, spelling, syntax, and composition. However, narrower definitions of dysgraphia (or when referencing motor/spatial dysgraphia) call for greater specificity.

U.S. federal law (IDEA)²⁰ specifies written expression as one of the areas in which students with learning disabilities may be affected, and the term dysgraphia can be used when identifying students with writing impairments.³⁹ However, the IDEA criteria do not specify that transcription problems (impaired handwriting and/or spelling) are the causal factors in dysgraphia for impaired written expression of ideas.²¹ Similarly, the DSM-5 does not mention transcription or handwriting under SLD with impairment in written expression; rather, the category acknowledges problems with accuracy of spelling, grammar and punctuation, or clarity/organization of written expression. To provide a specific treatment plan, it is necessary to understand the individual's overall learning profile and the factors contributing to the impairments.

Is poor handwriting synonymous with dysgraphia?

No, not all individuals with handwriting difficulties have dysgraphia. Handwriting problems, which manifest in a variety of ways and for different reasons,³⁷ are associated with many developmental disorders, including ADHD, developmental coordination disorder, and language disorder.³³ A comprehensive diagnostic evaluation that includes a range of measures is recommended to fully understand handwriting profiles and differentiate conditions that impact handwriting production.³³

Is keyboarding/typing an effective accommodation for dysgraphia?

The underlying impairments that contribute to dysgraphia (e.g., weaknesses in orthographic coding and sequential finger movements), can affect typing as well as handwriting; for this reason, accommodations such as keyboarding do not diminish the need or importance of explicit instruction in handwriting and spelling for students with dysgraphia.⁸

References

- ¹Adlof, S. M., Baron, L. S., Bell, B. A., & Scoggins, J. (2021). Spoken word learning in children with developmental language disorder or dyslexia. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research,* 64(7), 2734–2749. https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_JSLHR-20-00217
- ²American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596
- ³Barnett, A., Henderson, S. E., Scheib, B., & Schulz, J. (2007). *Detailed Assessment of Speed of Handwriting.* NCS Pearson.
- ⁴Barnett, A., Henderson, S. E., Scheib, B., & Schulz, J. (2010). *Detailed Assessment of Speed of Handwriting 17*+. NCS Pearson.
- ⁵Beery, K. E., Buktenica, N. A., & Beery, N. A. (2010). *The Beery-Buktenica Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration* (6th ed.). NCS Pearson.
- ⁶Berninger, V. W. (2007). *Process Assessment of the Learner (2nd ed.): Diagnostic Assessment for Reading and Writing.* NCS Pearson.
- ⁷Berninger, V. W. (2008). Defining and differentiating dysgraphia, dyslexia, and language learning disability within a working memory model. In M. Mody & E. R. Silliman (Eds.), *Brain, behavior, and learning in language and reading disorders* (pp. 103–134). Guilford Press.
- ⁸Berninger, V. W. (2015). Diagnosing specific learning disabilities and twice exceptionality. In *Interdisciplinary frameworks for schools: Best professional practices for serving the needs of all students* (pp. 221–247). American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/14437-009
- ⁹Berninger, V., Abbott, R., Cook, C. R., & Nagy, W. (2017). Relationships of attention and executive functions to oral language, reading, and writing skills and systems in middle childhood and early adolescence. *Journal of learning disabilities, 50*(4), 434–44. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219415617167
- ¹⁰Berninger, V., & Abbott, S. P. (2003). *Process Assessment of the Learner (PAL): Research-Based Reading and Writing Lessons*. NCS Pearson.
- ¹¹Bishop, D. V., Snowling, M. J., Thompson, P. A., Greenhalgh, T., & the CATALISE-2 consortium (2017). Phase 2 of CATALISE: A multinational and multidisciplinary Delphi consensus study of problems with language development: Terminology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 58*(10), 1068– 1080. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12721
- ¹²Breaux, K. C. (2020). *Intervention analyzer for content distribution networks*. (U.S. Patent Application No. 16859738). U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- ¹³Breaux, K. C. (2020). Wechsler Individual Achievement Test (4th ed.): Technical & interpretive manual. NCS Pearson.
- ¹⁴Breaux, K. C. & Witholt, T. (2021). Progress monitoring assistant. (U.S. Patent Application No. 17394663). U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- ¹⁵Bruininks, R.H.; Bruininks, B.D. (2005). *Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency* (2nd ed.). NCS Pearson.

- ¹⁶Chung, P. J., Patel, D. R., & Nizami, I. (2020). Disorder of written expression and dysgraphia: Definition, diagnosis, and management. *Translational Pediatrics*, 9(Suppl 1), S46–S54. https://doi.org/10.21037/tp.2019.11.01
- ¹⁷Deuel, R. K. (1995). Developmental dysgraphia and motor skills disorders. *Journal of Child Neurology*, *10*(Suppl. 1), S6–S8. https://doi.org/10.1177/08830738950100S103
- ¹⁸Developmental Occupational Therapy WA [DOT(WA) Inc.] (2019). Motor dysgraphia: Clinical practice guidelines for occupational therapists in Western Australia. https://dotwa.org.au/v2/wp-content/ uploads/2018/03/DOTWA-MOTOR-DYSGRAPHIAClinical-Guidelines.pdf
- ¹⁹Henderson, L., Sugden, D., Barnett, A. (2007). *Movement Assessment Battery for Children (2nd ed.): Checklist.* NCS Pearson.
- ²⁰Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004, Pub L. No. 108–446, 118 Stat. 2647. (2004).
- ²¹International Dyslexia Association. (2020). Understanding dysgraphia fact sheet. https://dyslexiaida. org/understanding-dysgraphia-2/
- ²²Johnson, D. J., & Myklebust, H. R. (1967). *Learning disabilities: Educational principles and practices.* Grune & Stratton.
- ²³Kaufman, A. S., & Kaufman, N. L. (2014). *Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement* (3rd ed.). NCS Pearson.
- ²⁴Kim, Y. S. G., Gatlin, B., Al Otaiba, S., & Wanzek, J. (2018). Theorization and an empirical investigation of the component-based and developmental text writing fluency construct. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 51*(4), 320–335. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219417712016

²⁵Korkman, M., Kirk, U., & Kemp, S. (2007). *NEPSY* (2nd ed.). NCS Pearson.

²⁶Learning By Design. (2012). SPELL-Links to Reading & Writing: A word study curriculum.

²⁷Learning By Design. (2017). SPELL-Links Class Links for Classrooms.

- ²⁸Learning By Design. (2020). *SPELL-Links Wordtivities: Word study instruction for spelling, vocabulary, and reading.*
- ²⁹McCloskey, M., & Rapp, B. (2017). Developmental dysgraphia: An overview and framework for research. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, *34*(3–4), 65–82. https://doi.org/10.1080/02643294.2017.1369016
- ³⁰Merriam-Webster. (n.d.). *Dysgraphia*. In Merriam-Webster.com dictionary. Retrieved September 9, 2022, from https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/dysgraphia
- ³¹NCS Pearson. (2020). Wechsler Individual Achievement Test (4th ed.).
- ³²Nielsen, K., Henderson, S., Barnett, A. L., Abbott, R. D., & Berninger, V. (2018). Movement issues identified in movement ABC2 checklist parent ratings for students with persisting dysgraphia, dyslexia, and OWL LD and typical literacy learners. *Learning Disabilities, 23*(1), 10–23. https://doi.org/10.18666/LDMJ-2018-V23-I1-8449

- ³³Prunty, M., & Barnett, A. L. (2017). Understanding handwriting difficulties: A comparison of children with and without motor impairment. *Cognitive neuropsychology*, *34*(3–4), 205–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/02643294.2017.1376630
- ³⁴Rapin, I. (2014). Classification of behaviorally defined disorders: Biology versus the DSM. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 44(10), 2661–2666. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2127-5
- ³⁵Silliman, E. R., & Berninger, V. W. (2011). Cross-disciplinary dialogue about the nature of oral and written language problems in the context of developmental, academic, and phenotypic profiles. *Topics in Language Disorders, 31*(1), 6–23. https://doi.org/10.1097/TLD.0b013e31820a0b5b
- ³⁶Texas Education Agency. (2021). The dyslexia handbook 2021 update: Procedures concerning dyslexia and related disorders. https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/texas-dyslexia-handbook-2021.pdf
- ³⁷Van Galen, G. P. (1991). Handwriting: Issues for a psychomotor theory. *Human Movement Science*, *10*(2–3), 165–191.
- ³⁸World Health Organization. (2019). *International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems* (11th ed.). https://icd.who.int/
- ³⁹Yudin, M. K. (2015). Dear colleague letter on IDEA/IEP terms. US Department of Education: Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services. https://sites.ed.gov/idea/idea-files/osep-dearcolleague-letter-on-ideaiep-terms/